

Unlocking the Transformative Potential of Artificial Intelligence in Computational Mechanics

Parsa Ghannadi^{1*}, Seyed Sina Kourehli^{2*}, Andy Nguyen³, Qilin Li⁴, Erkan Oterkus⁵

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Ahar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ahar, Iran

²Department of Civil Engineering, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

³School of Engineering, University of Southern Queensland, Springfield, QLD 4300, Australia

⁴School of Electrical Engineering, Computing and Mathematical Sciences, Curtin University, WA, Australia

⁵Department of Naval Architecture, Ocean, and Marine Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom

*Correspondence: parsa.ghannadi@gmail.com (P. Ghannadi), ss.kourehli@azaruniv.ac.ir (S.S Kourehli)

SUBMITTED: 4 March 2024; REVISED: 3 May 2024; ACCEPTED: 8 May 2024

ABSTRACT: Integrating artificial intelligence into computational mechanics represents a transformative paradigm shift in modelling, simulation, analysis, and design methodologies. By harnessing AI's power while ensuring its explainability to the users, we can enhance predictive capabilities, optimize design and performance, accelerate research and development efforts, and empower our engineers to address longstanding challenges. Let us embrace this transformative technology and work together to unlock its full potential in advancing the frontiers of computational mechanics.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence; computational mechanics; explainable AI; optimization; prediction; ethical considerations

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized countless fields, and its impact on computational mechanics is no exception. Navigating the complexities of material behaviour, structural analysis, and fluid dynamics, AI presents unparalleled opportunities for refining predictive models, necessitating explainable models, optimizing designs, and accelerating research endeavours [1]. This editorial explores the transformative potential of AI in computational mechanics and advocates for its widespread integration into both research and practice.

2. Enhancing Predictive Capabilities

One of the most compelling aspects of AI in computational mechanics is its ability to enhance predictive capabilities [2, 3]. Traditional modelling approaches, which often rely on simplifications and assumptions, may fall short of capturing the full complexity of real-world phenomena [4]. In contrast, AI excels in identifying patterns and relationships within data [5], allowing for not only more accurate forward predictions of material behaviour, structural responses, and fluid flows [3, 6] but also the resolution of inverse problems to deduce unknown characteristics [7]. In addition, AI-enabled predictive models often achieve processing speeds orders of magnitude faster than those of traditional approaches [8, 9]. By integrating AI-driven

techniques with traditional methods, researchers can develop accurate and efficient models on a large scale, thereby leading to more reliable simulations and analyses [10].

3. Necessitating Explainable AI

As we further integrate AI within computational mechanics, the call for explainable AI (XAI) becomes increasingly imperative. While beneficial for handling intricate computations, the complexity of AI-driven models introduces a layer of opacity that can hinder trust and reliability. Comprehending the rationale behind AI predictions is paramount in fields as critical as computational mechanics, where decisions directly impact safety and functionality. For instance, AI algorithms can optimize the design based on safety criteria, such as load capacities and structural stability defined by structural engineers, but without a good understanding of the reasoning behind the AI predictions, engineers might not be able to detect bias and prevent the failure of AI-generated design solution in maintaining safety requirements in practice.

XAI facilitates the inclusion of domain knowledge into physics-informed hybrid models, thereby enhancing transparency, reducing training data requirements, and improving prediction accuracy. XAI emerges not merely as a beneficial addition but as a fundamental necessity for navigating the complexities of modern technology. It ensures the protection of human well-being and propels the advancement of science and engineering [11, 12].

4. Optimizing Design and Performance

The transformative role of AI in enhancing design and performance optimization is increasingly evident. [13]. By leveraging developed algorithms and techniques, such as meta-heuristic optimization [14, 15, 16], XAI [17], reinforcement learning [18], and surrogate modelling [19], AI facilitates the exploration of vast design spaces, identifying solutions that optimize performance while adhering to constraints such as material properties, environmental factors, and cost. This optimization extends beyond mere efficiency, enabling the development of innovative designs that push the boundaries of what is physically and creatively possible. One example of this capacity is the attempt to minimize the structural weight while maintaining the strength [20]. A practical approach for addressing complex problems is to use a hybrid algorithm that combines optimization and machine learning techniques, leveraging the benefits of both methodologies to create a robust framework. Integrating optimization techniques into the machine learning process significantly enhances decision-making capabilities. A hybrid algorithm can leverage these optimization capabilities to guide the learning process and improve decision-making accuracy and efficiency [21]. Furthermore, AI-driven tools can significantly reduce the time and resources required for design iteration cycles, allowing for a more agile and experimental approach to engineering challenges [22].

5. Accelerating Research and Development

Integrating AI into computational mechanics also has the potential to accelerate research and development efforts across a wide range of applications. By automating labour-intensive and repetitive tasks, such as mesh generation [23], model calibration [24, 25], and post-processing analysis [26], AI frees researchers to focus on more creative and intellectually challenging work aspects. Moreover, AI can accelerate computationally demanding techniques such as peridynamics [27, 28]. AI's ability to quickly process and analyze vast datasets enables the discovery of new patterns and relationships that might elude traditional approaches. This

acceleration speeds up the research cycle and enhances the quality of outcomes, fostering a faster transition from theoretical models to practical applications. However, automation has several potential limitations or drawbacks, especially in areas like model calibration, where human expertise traditionally plays a significant role. For example, models and calibration processes can vary greatly depending on the application and domain. Automated systems may need help to adapt to this variability or require extensive customization and tuning, reducing the overall efficiency gains. Additionally, human experts are adept at handling unforeseen scenarios or edge cases that may not have been accounted for in the automation process [29].

6. Addressing Challenges and Ethical Considerations

While the potential benefits of integrating AI into computational mechanics are substantial, it is essential to acknowledge and handle the challenges and ethical considerations associated with its adoption. These include issues related to the quality and bias of data [30], as well as the transparency and interpretability of AI models [31]. In response, initiatives such as the Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT) [32] and AI Fairness 360 [33] have been developed. These frameworks focus on establishing principles, metrics, and algorithms designed to identify and mitigate bias in machine learning data and models, preventing discrimination and ensuring equitable outcomes in AI systems.

Addressing these challenges demands a concerted effort to develop guidelines and standards that ensure the ethical use of AI. Moreover, fostering a multidisciplinary dialogue between engineers, computer scientists, ethicists, and policymakers is crucial for navigating these complexities. By proactively addressing these challenges and integrating fairness, accountability, and transparency principles, we can leverage AI's transformative power whilst minimising risks.

References

- [1] Gandomi, A.H.; Soize, C.; Stewart, J.R. (2023). AI in computational mechanics and engineering sciences. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 407, 115935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2023.115935>
- [2] Kourehli, S.S. (2018). Prediction of unmeasured mode shapes and structural damage detection using least squares support vector machine. *Structural Monitoring and Maintenance*, 5(3), 379–390. <https://doi.org/10.12989/smm.2018.5.3.379>
- [3] Li, Q.; Wang, Y.; Shao, Y.; Li, L.; Hao, H. (2023). A comparative study on the most effective machine learning model for blast loading prediction: From GBDT to Transformer. *Engineering Structures*, 276, 115310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2022.115310>
- [4] Ghannadi, P.; Kourehli, S.S. (2018). Investigation of the accuracy of different finite element model reduction techniques. *Structural Monitoring and Maintenance*, 5(3), 417–428. <https://doi.org/10.12989/smm.2018.5.3.417>
- [5] Ghannadi, P.; Kourehli, S.S. (2019). Data-driven method of damage detection using sparse sensors installation by SEREPa. *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring*, 9, 459–475. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13349-019-00345-8>
- [6] Li, Q.; Wang, Y.; Li, L.; Hao, H.; Wang, R.; Li, J. (2023). Prediction of BLEVE loads on structures using machine learning and CFD. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 171, 914–925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2023.02.008>
- [7] Patel, D.; Tibrewala, R.; Vega, A.; Dong, L.; Hugenberg, N.; Oberai, A.A. (2019). Circumventing the solution of inverse problems in mechanics through deep learning: Application to elasticity

- imaging. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 353, 448–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2019.04.045>
- [8] Li, Q.; Wang, Z.; Li, L.; Hao, H.; Chen, W.; Shao, Y. (2023). Machine learning prediction of structural dynamic responses using graph neural networks. *Computers & Structures*, 289, 107188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2023.107188>
- [9] Li, Q.; Wang, Y.; Chen, W.; Li, L.; Hao, H. (2024). Machine learning prediction of BLEVE loading with graph neural networks. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 241, 109639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2023.109639>
- [10] Liu, W.K.; Bessa, M.A.; Chinesta, F.; Li, S.; Trask, N. (2023). Special issue of computational mechanics on machine learning theories, modeling, and applications to computational materials science, additive manufacturing, mechanics of materials, design and optimization. *Computational Mechanics*, 72 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00466-023-02337-4>
- [11] Górriz, J.M.; Álvarez-Illán, I.; Álvarez-Marquina, A.; Arco, J.E.; Atzmüller, M.; Ballarini, F.; Ferrández-Vicente, J.M. (2023). Computational approaches to Explainable Artificial Intelligence: Advances in theory, applications and trends. *Information Fusion*, 100, 101945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101945>
- [12] Koeppe, A.; Bamer, F.; Selzer, M.; Nestler, B.; Markert, B. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence for mechanics: physics-explaining neural networks for constitutive models. *Frontiers in Materials*, 8, 824958. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmats.2021.824958>
- [13] Jenis, J.; Ondriga, J.; Hrcek, S.; Brumerčič, F.; Cuchor, M.; Sadovsky, E. (2023). Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Mechanical Design and Optimization. *Machines*, 11(6), 577. <https://doi.org/10.3390/machines11060577>
- [14] Ghannadi, P.; Kourehli, S.S.; Nguyen, A. (2024). The Differential Evolution Algorithm: An Analysis of More than Two Decades of Application in Structural Damage Detection (2001–2022). In *Data Driven Methods for Civil Structural Health Monitoring and Resilience*; Noori, M., Rainieri, C., Domaneschi, M., Sarhosis V., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, USA, pp. 14–57. <http://doi.org/10.1201/9781003306924-2>
- [15] Ghannadi, P.; Kourehli, S.S.; Mirjalili, S. (2023). A review of the application of the simulated annealing algorithm in structural health monitoring (1995–2021). *Frattura ed Integrità Strutturale*, 17(64), 51–76. <https://doi.org/10.3221/IGF-ESIS.64.04>
- [16] Ghannadi, P.; Kourehli, S.S.; Mirjalili, S. (2022). The application of PSO in structural damage detection: An analysis of the previously released publications (2005–2020). *Frattura ed Integrità Strutturale*, 16(62), 460–489. <https://doi.org/10.3221/IGF-ESIS.62.32>
- [17] Geyer, P.; Singh, M.M.; Chen, X. (2021). Explainable AI for engineering design: A unified approach of systems engineering and component-based deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.13836v4*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.13836v4>
- [18] Dworschak, F.; Dietze, S.; Wittmann, M.; Schleich, B.; Wartzack, S. (2022). Reinforcement learning for engineering design automation. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 52, 101612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2022.101612>
- [19] Chakraborty, S.; Goswami, S.; Rabczuk, T. (2019). A surrogate assisted adaptive framework for robust topology optimization. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 346, 63–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2018.11.030>
- [20] Sadrekarimi, N.; Talatahari, S.; Azar, B.F.; Gandomi, A. (2023). A surrogate merit function developed for structural weight optimization problems. *Soft Computing*, 27(3), 1533–1563. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-022-07453-6>
- [21] Azevedo, B.F.; Rocha, A.M.A.; Pereira, A.I. (2024). Hybrid approaches to optimization and machine learning methods: a systematic literature review. *Machine Learning*, 1–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-023-06467-x>

- [22] Mai, H.T.; Lee, S.; Kim, D.; Lee, J.; Kang, J.; Lee, J. (2023). Optimum design of nonlinear structures via deep neural network-based parameterization framework. *European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids*, 98, 104869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euromechsol.2022.104869>
- [23] Wang, X.; Yue, Q.; Liu, X. (2024). Intelligent mesh generation for crack simulation using graph neural networks. *Computers & Structures*, 292, 107234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2023.107234>
- [24] Ghannadi, P.; Khatir, S.; Kourehli, S.S.; Nguyen, A.; Boutchicha, D.; Wahab, M.A. (2023). Finite element model updating and damage identification using semi-rigidly connected frame element and optimization procedure: An experimental validation. *Structures*, 50, 1173–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2023.02.008>
- [25] Ghannadi, P.; Kourehli, S.S. (2019). Model updating and damage detection in multi-story shear frames using Salp Swarm Algorithm. *Earthquakes and Structures*, 17(1), 63–73. <https://doi.org/10.12989/eas.2019.17.1.063>
- [26] Trent, S.; Renno, J.; Sassi, S.; Mohamed, M.S. (2023). Using image processing techniques in computational mechanics. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 136, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2022.11.024>
- [27] Nguyen, C.T.; Oterkus, S.; Oterkus, E. (2023). A peridynamic-based machine learning model for one-dimensional and two-dimensional structures. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 35(3), 741–773. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00161-020-00905-0>
- [28] Nguyen, C. T.; Oterkus, S.; & Oterkus, E. (2021). A physics-guided machine learning model for two-dimensional structures based on ordinary state-based peridynamics. *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, 112, 102872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tafmec.2020.102872>
- [29] Ng, K.K.; Chen, C.H.; Lee, C.K.; Jiao, J.R.; Yang, Z.X. (2021). A systematic literature review on intelligent automation: Aligning concepts from theory, practice, and future perspectives. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 47, 101246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2021.101246>
- [30] Ntoutsis, E.; Fafalios, P.; Gadiraju, U.; Iosifidis, V.; Nejdil, W.; Vidal, M. E.; Krasanakis, E. (2020). Bias in data-driven artificial intelligence systems—An introductory survey. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 10(3), e1356. <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1356>
- [31] Daneshjou, R.; Smith, M.P.; Sun, M.D.; Rotemberg, V.; Zou, J. (2021). Lack of transparency and potential bias in artificial intelligence data sets and algorithms: a scoping review. *JAMA dermatology*, 157(11), 1362–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.3129>
- [32] Zhdanov, D.; Bhattacharjee, S.; Bragin, M.A. (2022). Incorporating FAT and privacy aware AI modeling approaches into business decision making frameworks. *Decision Support Systems*, 155, 113715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2021.113715>
- [33] Bellamy, R.K.; Dey, K.; Hind, M.; Hoffman, S.C.; Houde, S.; Kannan, K.; Zhang, Y. (2019). AI Fairness 360: An extensible toolkit for detecting and mitigating algorithmic bias. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 63(4/5), 4:1–4:15. <https://doi.org/10.1147/JRD.2019.2942287>



© 2024 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).